



REGULATION SERIES

68.6%

FEBRUARY 2020

THE SHARING ECONOMY: DESTROYING JOBS WON'T HELP LOW-INCOME WORKERS

By Peter St. Onge and Daniel Dufort

Will Canada import California's jobkilling experiment and risk putting freelance work out of the reach of Canadians who need it? A case currently under consideration before the Supreme Court, *Uber v. Heller*,¹ involves details of a single employment contract, but the larger question is whether freelance workers must be legally considered employees if they want to work.

Casual or "gig" work has been around a very long time, but the sharing economy has put freelancers in the spotlight. It is especially important for anyone who can only work parttime: single parents, college students, the elderly, and seasonal workers. These groups have long counted on the ability to work flexible hours, be they waiters, nannies, deliverymen, or translators.

Working part-time

Source: OECD, OECD Employers

this is not the sharing part-time source: OECD, OECD Employers

source: OECD, OECD Employers

the spotlight. It is especially important for anyone who can only work part-time source: OECD, OECD Employers

the spotlight. It is especially important for anyone who can only work part-time source: OECD, OECD Employers

the spotlight. It is especially important for anyone who can only work part-time source: OECD, OECD Employers

the spotlight. It is especially important for anyone who can only work part-time source: OECD, OECD Employers

the spotlight shall be sourced to be sourced

What has changed is the dramatic creation of new part-time jobs thanks to the internet and cellphone apps. These have expanded the range of services people are willing to hire for, and made it much easier both to work and to hire people for casual work. The sharing economy has created over 60,000 Canadian jobs per year on average between 2005 and 2016.² This has been fantastic for consumers, who can now easily hire someone to deliver the groceries, shovel the driveway, walk the dog, mow the lawn, or walk them to their car safely at night. And, of course, now you can call a cab that actually comes on time.

So far, labour laws have helped by sheltering casual workers from the hassle of paperwork, and employers from the risks inherent in hiring permanent em-

Table 1

Employment status one year later, unemployed vs. working part-time		
	Status, one year later	
Status, start of study	% Unemployed	% Working ideal hours (full-time or part-time by choice)
Unemployed	25.0%	41.0%

4.1%

Source: OECD, OECD Employment Outlook 1990, Ch. 7, Table 7.6, June 1990, p. 189.

ployees. Unfortunately, regulators are becoming hostile to this new job creation. *California Assembly Bill 5* (AB 5), which took effect on January 1st, 2020, effectively turned freelancers into employees. The goal was to improve conditions for gig workers but, in practice, it has meant the disappearance of their jobs. Mass layoffs of part-time and full-time freelance workers have occurred so far in the media and the film industry, with fears of more to come.³

GOOD INTENTIONS THAT BACKFIRE

The experience of California illustrates why governments should avoid interfering in the sharing economy. Despite laudable intentions, forcing employers to provide benefits to contract workers risks pricing low-wage workers out of employment altogether. Studies have also shown that even when the company is paying for the benefits, the costs get directly passed along to the employees. ⁴ So even workers who don't lose their jobs end up paying for the mandated benefits through reduced wages.

Empirically, job losses from mandatory benefits disproportionately target low-income workers. A similar phenomenon

occurred in Ontario when 50,000 young workers lost their job in the wake of a hike in the minimum wage from \$11.49 to \$14.00 on January 1st, 2018.5

Losing one's job not only hurts the worker today, but can negatively impact future job prospects. One study found that the simple fact of having a part-time job reduces the odds of being unemployed a year later by over 80%—from 25% for unemployed workers to just 4% for part-time workers. Working part-time also considerably increases the chances of having the work situation you prefer a year later, whether full-time or part-time, from 41% for those unemployed to 69% for part-time workers (see Table 1).⁶ In other words, a great way to get the job you want is to work any job right now.

Statistics Canada estimates that only one in four part-time workers (24%) are led to work part-time due to economic conditions. Nearly half (48%) have to care for children or other family members, have a disability, or are going to school, while 28% have voluntarily chosen to work part-time.⁷

Flexibility is key to the sharing economy: to work, to hire, to buy and sell. This is true as much for employers as for employees, who can be students or retirees and prefer only working a few hours per week. A poll conducted in the United States in August 2018 showed that only 7% of American contract workers would rather be considered as full employees.⁸

The Canadian economy is one of great upward mobility. A 2012 study of census data found that 83% of Canadians in the bottom 20% of income earners in 1990 had moved up to a higher income category ten years later. In 2009, the percentage of those who had risen into a higher bracket was 87%, with 40% having even reached the two highest quintiles. However, temporary jobs at the bot-

tom of the ladder are often a prerequisite for this phenomenon to endure.⁹

MAKING THINGS EASIER

Too often, lawmakers propose reforms that might sound good but actually make the problem worse. Instead of making it harder to hire freelancers and part-time workers, lawmakers should be making it easier. The freedom to contract and to work as one pleases is not only a fundamental right, it is among the most effective ways to help marginal workers who need that first rung on the ladder.

REFERENCES

- Heller v. Uber Technologies Inc., 2019 ONCA 1, appealed to the Supreme Court of Canada. See The Canadian Press, "Supreme Court set to hear Uber case with implications for gig economy," CBC News, November 6, 2019.
- 2. Statistics Canada, Study: Measuring the gig economy in Canada using administrative data, December 16, 2019.
- 3. Allana Akhtar, "'It feels cold and heartless': Hundreds of California freelancers have been fired before the holidays over a state law meant to help Uber and Lyft drivers," *Business Insider*, December 18, 2019; Ari Levy and Alex Sherman, "Vox Media to cut hundreds of freelance jobs ahead of changes in California gig economy laws," CNBC.com, December 16, 2019.
- Michael F. Cannon, "Workers, Not Employers, Bear the (Full) Cost of Health Benefits," Cato Institute, September 29, 2019.
- 5. The calculation of the change is based on seasonally adjusted data. Statistics Canada, Table 14-10-0287-01: Labour force characteristics, monthly, seasonally adjusted and trend-cycle, last 5 months, December 2017 to October 2018. See also Alexandre Moreau, "The Minimum Wage: Ontario Was Right to Cancel the Hike to \$15," Viewpoint, MEI, November 20, 2018.
- 6. OECD, OECD Employment Outlook 1990, Ch. 7, June 1990.
- 7. Martha Peterson, Labour Statistics at a Glance: Who works part time and why? Statistics Canada, November 6, 2018.
- 8. Alana Samuels, "What Happens When Gig-Economy Workers Become Employees," *The Atlantic*, September 14, 2018.
- 9. Charles Lammam, Towards a Better Understanding of Income Inequality in Canada, Fraser Institute, November 30, 2017, p. 131.



This Viewpoint was prepared by **Peter St. Onge**, Senior Economist at the MEI, and **Daniel Dufort**, Senior Director of External Relations, Communications and Development at the MEI. The MEI's **Regulation Series** aims to examine the often unintended consequences for individuals and businesses of various laws and rules, in contrast with their stated goals.

The MEI is an independent public policy think tank with offices in Montreal, Calgary, and Paris. Through its publications, media appearances, and advisory services to policy-makers, the MEI stimulates public policy debate and reforms based on sound economics and entrepreneurship. It neither solicits nor accepts any government funding.